APPENDIX TABLE 2
Influence of Reduced TSP Concentration and a Neutralization Was on the Performance of a TSP Mushroom Preservative Treatment

,	,		Whiteness (L-value)			
	Treatment	Day 0	Day 3	Day 6		
	1 R.O. Water, 120 s	87 89	85.89	78.92		
10	2. 1000 ppm Sodium Metabisulfite, 120 s	93.16	90.75	82.75		
10	3. 10% Trisodium Phosphate (TSP), 120 s	72 45	70.50	67.51		
	4 10% TSP, 60 s, R O, Water, 60 s	80.22	85.32	76 67		
	5, 10% TSP, 60 st 4.50% E.A., 60 s	90 82	91 00	89.50		
	6 10% TSP, 60 s; 2 25% NaE, 60 s	89 23	87 67	84.32		
	7 10% TSP, 60 s, 2 25% E.A., 60 s	90 71	90 91	84 12		
	8. 5% TSP, 60 s; 2.25% E.A., 60 s	87 92	86 92	78.60		
15	9 2.5% TSP, 60 s, 2.25% E.A., 60 s	89 59	87.38	77 90		
	10, 2.5% TSP, 60 s, 1 00% E.A., 60 s	88 35	85.06	76 47		

E.A = erythorbic acid NaE = sodium erythorbate

Influence of Wash Treatment Upon the Texture of Fresh Mushrooms.				
reatment	Resistance (Kg)			
1000 ppm Sodium Metabisulfite, 90 s	0.567 (A)			

*Neutralization wash = 0.6% erythorbic acid + 2.4% sodium erythorbate + 1000 ppm EDTA + 1000 ppm calcium chloride. Values are means of three replicates. Means followed by the same letter are not different at p < 0.05.

TABLE 7

Quality of Canned Mushrooms: High-pH treatment vs. Sulfite and R O Water Treatments

Treatment	Whiteness (L-value)	
High-pH Sulfite R.O Water	64 01 (A) 61.23 (B) 59.13 (C)	

Values are the mean of four replications. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p<0.05

TABLE 8

Canning Yield for Washed Mushrooms High-pH Treatment vs. Sulfite and RO Water Treatments

Treatment	Canning Yield (%)*	
Sulfite High-pH	65.70 (A) 65.53 (A) 64.85 (B)	35
	Sulfite	Sulfite 65 70 (A) High-pH 65.53 (A)

*Canning yield was computed on a fresh-weight basis. Values are means of four replicates. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05

TABLE 9

Conform Counts on Mushrooms Washed Before Freezing: High-pH Treatment vs Sulfite and R.O. Water Treatments

	Coliform Count (CFU/g)			
Treatment	2 weeks	4 weeks	6 weeks	8 weeks
Sulfite	120	375	30	10
R O Water	<10	<10	10	10
High pH	<10	<10	<10	<10

Values are means of three replicate plates each of 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , and 10^{-3} dilutions.

APPENDIX TABLE 1

Effect of a Trisochum Phosphate (TSP) Wash on the Storage Quality of Fresh Mushrooms

	Whiteness (L-value)		
Treatment	Day 0	Day 3	Day 6
1 Unwashed Control	90.39	87.32	81 33
2. R.O. Water, 120 s	93.36	91 60	86 61
3 1000 ppm Sodium Metabisulfite, 120 s	95 10	92 63	89 53
4 10% Trisodium Phosphate, 120 s	60 42	58 84	58 91

APPENDIX TABLE 3

Evaluation of TSP-vs. Socium Bicarbonate-Based High-pH
Preservative Treatments

•		Whit	eness (L.)	ralue)
	Treatment	Day 0	Day 3	Day 6
	1 RO Water, 120 s	86 63	82 28	78.08
	2 1000 ppm Sodium Metabisulfite, 120 s	94 52	91.23	83 78
2	3, 10% TSP, 60 s; 4.50% E A , 60 s	87.97	85 64	81 75
	4 10% TSP, 60 s, 2.25% B A, 60 s	87 45	83 93	79 36
	5 5% NaHCO ₃ , 60 s, 2 25% B A, 60 s	88 62	85.87	83 05
	6 0 05M NaHCO3, 60 s, 0 2% E.A., 60 s	92 66	92 90	89 10

We claim:

50

1. A method for preserving fresh and processed mushrooms, comprising the steps of:

contacting the mushrooms with an antimicrobial buffer solution having a pH of from about 9.5 to about 11.0; and

rinsing the mushrooms one or more times immediately after said contacting step with pH-neutralizing buffer solutions of erythorbic acid and sodium crythorbate. in ratios of about 1:4, having a sufficient pH to return the mushrooms to the mushroom physiological pH of about 6.5

The method of claim 1 wherein said antimicrobial solution is 0.05-0.5M sodium bicarbonate buffer solution.
 and the pH-neutralizing buffer solutions are about 0.04-0.6% erythorbic acid and about 1.6-2.4% sodium erythorbate.

3. The method of claim 2 wherein said contacting step is carried out for about 30-60 seconds at about 10-35° C.. and said rinsing step is carried out for about 60-120 seconds at about 10-25° C.

 The method of claim 3 wherein said pH-neutralizing buffer solutions further include 1000 ppm calcium-disodium 65 EDTA.

5. The method of claim 3 wherein said pH-neutralizing buffer solutions further include 1000 ppm calcium chloride.

The state of the s

- The method of claim 3 wherein said pH-neutralizing buffer solutions further include 1000 ppm calcium-disodium EDTA and 1000 ppm calcium chloride.
- 7. The method of claims 2-6 wherein said antimicrobial solution is a 0.05M sodium bicarbonate buffer solution having a pH of about 10.5-11.0, and the pH-neutralizing buffer solutions include about 0.6% erythorbic acid and
- about 2.4% sodium erythorbate, and said contacting step is carried out for about 30 seconds at about 25° C. and said rinsing step is carried out for about 60 seconds at about 10° C.
 - 8. The method of claim 1 wherein said antimicrobial solution is a 5-10% tribasic sodium phosphate solution.

.